

8th PT/EQA Workshop - Berlin 2014

Report from WG1

Review of ISO/IEC 17043

- Convenors:
 - Brian Brookman, LGC, UK
 - Christian Lehmann, DAkkS, Germany

- Objective – Consider the issues that have been experienced when implementing the requirements of ISO/IEC 17043

WG Members

- 68 members
 - 55 PT providers
 - 11 ABs or technical assessors
 - 1 laboratory
 - 1 university lecturer for metrology

Question 1

- Which requirements in the standard have caused most debate/discussions with regards to the interpretations/implementation?
- Discuss from point of view of both accreditation body and PT/EQA provider?



Question 1

- Homogeneity
 - Assessment criteria, sometimes too tight
 - Not always possible to do duplicate samples – recent paper published around single samples
 - Use of historical data to show processes are under control – greater emphasis in revised ISO 13528
 - IUPAC Harmonized Protocol gives alternative approaches
 - Post distribution data analysis
 - Homogeneity can be very costly for some samples, need to do less



- Subcontracting
 - Grey area around design is subcontracted
 - Suppliers/subcontractors difference – difference in level of evaluation needed
 - How to evaluate, what is accepted by ABs, no experience of an AB ever assessing a subcontractor

- Software/computer maintenance
 - How much validation and documentation
 - What maintenance documentation is required

- Metrological traceability
 - Not really possible for consensus means
 - Key is to show that fit for purpose

- Demonstrating experience of advisors
 - What is required e.g. short CV or full training programme

- Feedback to participants
 - Agreed not necessary to every individual participant
 - Just general comments in the report

- Certificates
 - Clarity on what is misleading
 - Should certificates of successful participation be allowed (general view No)

Question 2

- Which issues in Question 1 have not yet been fully resolved?

- Discuss from point of view of both accreditation body and PT/EQA provider?



Question 2

- Aspects of different approaches to homogeneity/stability assessment
 - Particularly in biological field
- Certificates
- Should ISO/IEC 17043 be revised?
 - Some felt it should be simplified
 - No overlap of clauses between Management/Technical requirements



Question 3

- Are there any sectors where the present standard has proved difficult to apply?
- Discuss from point of view of both accreditation body and PT/EQA provider?



Question 3

- In some biological areas e.g. genetics, clinical, maybe microbiology
- Sampling PT



Question 4

- Given the discussion in Questions 3, do you think detailed specific guidance is required (specify the sectors)?

Question 4

- EEE-PT WG currently producing guidance on PTs for sampling