


# Measurement uncertainty

Requirements of  
ISO/IEC 17025 and ISO 15189



Pancyprian Union of Chemists  
Division of Quality Assurance  
Eurachem Cyprus Committee



Nicosia, 12-13 March 2024

 **Eurachem**

*A focus for analytical chemistry in Europe*

**Ricardo Bettencout da Silva**

Centro de Química Estrutural  
Institute of Molecular Sciences  
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa



rjsilva@fc.ul.pt



<https://mechem.rd.ciencias.ulisboa.pt/>

1

## Outline

Introductory information

Uncertainty components affecting measurements

Quantification, combination and expansion of the MU\*

Final remarks

---

\* - measurement uncertainty

2

2

## **C** Accreditation requirements

ISO/IEC 17025 & ISO 15189:

- The uncertainty from quantitative tests (i.e. measurements) must be evaluated
- MU must be reported if relevant for the purpose of the analysis or required by the client (*some accreditation bodies made uncertainty reporting mandatory for all tests*)
- For test reports that include conformity assessments, the way measurement uncertainty is considered in these assessments must be regulated or agreed with the customer and presented in test reports

3

3

## **C** Accreditation requirements

**Additional requirements of ISO 15189 regarding MU:**

*(Specific to medical laboratories and focused on the quality of medical testing and diagnostics)*

**The reporting of measurement uncertainty is required when:**

- It is clinically significant or when its omission may impact the interpretation of the results by the healthcare professionals.



4

4



## Measurement process

- (1) Definition of the problem
- (2) Definition of measurement requirements  
(analytical scope, target MU\* and others)
- (3) Method development
- (4) Method validation  
(culminates with comparing the MU with the target MU)
- (5) Analysis of unknown samples supported by test quality control
- (6) Decision on tested samples

---

\* - measurement uncertainty

5

5



## Effects on measurements

Measurements are affected by:

- Within-day random effect (quantified by the repeatability standard deviation,  $s_r$ )
  - Between days random effects (quantified by the between-days standard deviation,  $s_b$ )
  - Between days systematic effects
- 

$$s_I = \sqrt{s_r^2 + s_b^2}$$

$s_I$  - intermediate precision standard deviation

6

6



## Effects on measurements

Measurements are affected by:

- Within-day random effect (quantified by the repeatability standard deviation,  $s_r$ )
- Between days random effects (quantified by the between-days standard deviation,  $s_b$ )
- Between days systematic effects

$$s_I = \sqrt{s_r^2 + s_b^2}$$

$s_I$  - intermediate precision standard deviation

The assessment of systematic effects is always affected by random effects


7

7



## Uncertainty components

Evaluation based on in-house method validation:



8

8

## Precision uncertainty

If a single measurement is performed:

$$u_p = s_I$$

If the result is the mean of  $n$  measurements performed in different days:

$$u_p(n; dd) = s_I/\sqrt{n}$$

If the result is the mean of  $m$  measurements performed in the same day:

$$u_p(m; sd) = \sqrt{s_I^2 + s_r^2 \left( \frac{1-m}{m} \right)}$$

$u_p$  - precision standard uncertainty

9

9

## Precision uncertainty

For measurements applicable to a wide concentration range, precision models should be defined:

- Typically, below  $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $s_I$  is approximately constant  $\S$
- Typically, above  $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $s'_I = s_I/c$  is approximately constant (model is improved if additional intervals above  $2c_{LOQ}$  are considered)

Interval I ( $c_{LOQ}$  to  $2c_{LOQ}$ ): Constant  $s_I$ ⟨I⟩

Interval II ( $2c_{LOQ}$  to  $10c_{LOQ}$ ): Constant  $s'_I$ ⟨II⟩

Interval III ( $10c_{LOQ}$  to  $c_{Max}$ ): Constant  $s'_I$ ⟨III⟩

$\S$  - Instead of 2, another multiplying factor can be used.


10

10


## C Precision uncertainty – Example A

Quantify  $s_I$  at  $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $s_I(2c_{LOQ})$ :

Interval I ( $c_{LOQ}$  to  $2c_{LOQ}$ ):  $s_I\langle I \rangle = s_I(2c_{LOQ})$



Interval II ( $2c_{LOQ}$  to  $c_{Max}$ ):  $s'_I\langle II \rangle = \frac{s_I(2c_{LOQ})}{2c_{LOQ}}$



11

11


## C Precision uncertainty – Example B

Intermediate precision from pooling various ( $i$  or  $j$ ) estimates from  $N_i$  or  $M_i$  replicates:

Interval I ( $c_{LOQ}$  to  $2c_{LOQ}$ ):  $s_I\langle I \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(N_i - 1)s_{I(i)}^2}{\sum(N_i - 1)}}$



Interval II ( $2c_{LOQ}$  to  $c_{Max}$ ):  $s'_I\langle II \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(M_i - 1)s'_{I(j)}^2}{\sum(M_i - 1)}}$



12

12

## C Precision uncertainty – Example C

Determination of total As in marine sediments:  $c_{LOQ} = 0.05 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$

Sample	$c$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$s_I$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$s'_I$	$n$
Interval I: Below $2c_{LOQ}$				
A	0.0510	0.0052	-	8
B	0.0880	0.0074	-	9
Model: $u_P = \sqrt{\frac{0.0052^2(8-1)+0.0074^2(9-1)}{(8-1)+(9-1)}} = 0.00647 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$				
Interval II: Above $2c_{LOQ}$				
C	0.120	-	5.3%	10
D	0.452	-	4.9%	11
Model: $u'_P = \sqrt{\frac{5.3\%^2(10-1)+4.9\%^2(11-1)}{(10-1)+(11-1)}} = 5.09\%$				

13

13

## C Recovery uncertainty

Uncertainty for the management of systematic effects.

- requires the analysis of samples with known concentration
- involves deciding if observed relevant systematic effects should be corrected on results:
  - Correct results for relevant recovery if mandatory or allowed
  - Do not correct results if correction is not allowed

14

14

## **C** Recovery uncertainty

### Mean recovery uncertainty

Standard uncertainty,  $u_{\bar{R}}$ , of the overall mean recovery,  $\bar{R}$ , estimated from  $N$  mean recoveries,  $\bar{R}_i$ , determined from the analysis of  $N$  reference material in  $n_i$  different days:

$$u_{\bar{R}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{C_i}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{s_I(c_i)}{\bar{c}_i \sqrt{n_i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u(C_i)}{C_i}\right)^2 \right] / N}$$


$C_i$  and  $\bar{c}_i$  are the reference and mean of measured values ( $\bar{R}_i = \bar{c}_i / C_i$ ).

15

15

## **C** Recovery uncertainty

- Assess if  $\bar{R}$  is different from 1:



16

16



## C Recovery uncertainty – Example C

Determination of total As in marine sediments:

Proficiency test samples:

Sample	C (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	u(C) (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$\bar{c}$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	s <sub>I</sub> (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	n
E	0.1460	0.0234	0.1400	0.0053	7
F	0.2210	0.0236	0.1960	0.0076	12

$$u_{\bar{R}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{0.1400}{0.1460}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{0.0053}{0.1400\sqrt{7}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0234}{0.1460}\right)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{0.1960}{0.2210}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{0.0076}{0.1960\sqrt{12}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0236}{0.2210}\right)^2 \right]} / 2 = 0.0906$$

$$\frac{|1 - 0.923|}{0.0906} = 0.850 \leq 2$$

17


17

## C Additional uncertainty

Relevant components not expressed in  $u_P$  and  $u_{\bar{R}}$ :

**Example:**

Sampling uncertainty if an item larger than the laboratory sample is to be characterised.



Eurachem/EUROLAB/CITAC/Nordtest/AMC Guide: Measurement uncertainty arising from sampling: a guide to methods and approaches. Second Edition, Eurachem (2019).

C. Borges, et al., Optimization of river sampling: application to nutrients distribution in Tagus river estuary, Anal. Chem. 91 (2019) 5698-5705

18

18

## C Combination and expansion

Interval I [ $c_{LOQ}$ ,  $2c_{LOQ}$ ]:

$$U = 2\sqrt{u_P^2\langle I \rangle + (c_{\square} \cdot u'_{\bar{R}})^2}$$

Interval II [ $2c_{LOQ}$ ,  $c_{Max}$ ]:

$$U = 2c_{\square}\sqrt{u_P^2\langle II \rangle + u'_{\bar{R}}^2}$$

where  $c_{\square}$  is  $c$  or  $c_c$ , and  $U$  the expanded uncertainty for 95% confidence level.

19

19

## C Combination and expansion – Ex. C

Determination of total As in marine sediments:

Proficiency test samples

	$s_I$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$s'_I$	$u'_{\bar{R}}$
Below $2c_{LOQ}$			
	0.00647 mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	-	0.0906/1
Model: $U(\text{mg kg}^{-1}) = 2\sqrt{0.00647^2 + (c \cdot 0.0906)^2}$			
Above $2c_{LOQ}$			
	-	5.09%	0.0906/1
Model: $U(\text{mg kg}^{-1}) = 2c\sqrt{(0.0509)^2 + (0.0906)^2} = 0.208c$			

20

20

## Reported MU

The reported MU does not express performance and the uncertainty of the used references exclusively...

It expresses the way available information was used to quantify, combine and expand the MU

You get ~~what~~ <sup>how</sup> you see

21

21


## Final remarks

- Top-down uncertainty evaluations are popular for their simplicity, but frequently some simplifications hide relevant details.

- 24 years after introducing the MU concept in accredited laboratories, this concept is being used seriously in conformity assessments...therefore, we must be more careful in our MU evaluations.

22

22



Pancyprian Union of Chemists  
Division of Quality Assurance  
Eurachem Cyprus Committee

 **Eurachem**  
*A focus for analytical chemistry in Europe*

**Thanks for  
your attention**



<https://mechem.rd.ciencias.ulisboa.pt/>